## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Yolo)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

ARTURO GOMEZ,

Defendant and Appellant.

C039799

(Super. Ct. Nos. 01-0242 & 01-4656)

Defendant Arturo Gomez was convicted by a jury of receiving stolen property (Pen. Code, § 496), arrying a concealed dirk or dagger (§ 12020, subd. (a)(4)), and possessing a hypodermic syringe, a misdemeanor (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4140) in case no. 01-0242. Six prior separate prison terms were found true by the trial court. (§ 667.5, subd. (b).) Pursuant to a plea

<sup>1</sup> Further section references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise designated.

agreement, defendant pleaded no contest to uttering a forged check in case no. 01-4656. (§ 470, subd. (d).)

Defendant was sentenced to the middle term of two years in prison on the receiving stolen property charge and on the weapons charge, to run concurrently. The trial court imposed eight months in prison consecutively on the forgery case, and six more years for the prior prison term enhancements, for a total sentence of eight years, eight months.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (People v. Wende (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days have elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

## DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

		<del>-</del>	BLEASE	 Acting	P.	J.
We concur:						
	NICHOLSON	, J.				
	KOLKEY	, J.				